

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,785	01/29/2004	Carl Edward Werner	2003-0210	5857
Mr. S.H. Dwor	7590 02/21/200 etsky		EXAM	INER
AT&T Corp.			WON, MICHAEL YOUNG	
PO Box 4110 Middletown, N	J 07748		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
	,			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			02/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	•	10/767,785	WERNER, CARL EDWARD			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Michael Y. Won	2155			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
	Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 De	ecember 2007.	•			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 8-11 is/are pending in the a	pplication.				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 8-11 is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)[[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•	•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

- This action is in response to the amendment filed December 10, 2007. 1.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 6, and 8 have been amended and claims 1 and 7 have been cancelled.
- Claims 1, 3-6, and 8-11 have been examined and are pending with this action. 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 4. unpatentable over Wang et al. (US 2002/0198946) in view of Aravamudan et al. (US 6,301,609).

INDEPENDENT:

As per claim 1, Wang teaches an arrangement for transmitting electronic updates/alerts over a data network to a plurality of data network system users, the arrangement comprising:

10/767,785

Art Unit: 2155

a website update/alert administrator (see page 1, [0002]: "centralized alert delivery system"), coupled to the data network (see page 2, [0031]: "linked through a communication network"), for receiving update or alert messages from said network (see page 1, [0002] & [0012]: "To support delivery of time-critical, high-importance alerts"), said website update/alert administrator including:

a target listing of various IM users to associate users with different types of updates and alerts (see page 2, [0013]: "A user defines his or her own set of delivery modes, each of which corresponds to a personalized dependability level"),

a transmission element for sending an automated IM (see page 2, [0015]: "automated") to each user, the automated IM including the update/alert message information (see Fig.3); and

a listing of email addresses for each user, wherein an email version of an update/alert is sent if a user is not involved in the IM session at the time the automated IM is sent (see page 2, [0014]: "Each user in the system has an alert center that is always online for receiving and acknowledging IM-alerts and has at least one e-mail address as a fallback mechanism").

Although Wang teaches a database of instant messaging (IM) users (see Fig.3, #328 and page 4, [0058]: "The mapping module 328 is configured by the user 304 to direct alerts received from various sources to an SMS address 330, an e-mail address 332 and/or an IM address 334"), Wang does not explicitly teach of groups including a set of members from the plurality of data network system users.

10/767,785 Art Unit: 2155

Aravamudan teaches of groups including a set of members from the plurality of data network system users (see col.2, lines 33-35: "The user creates buddy groups and defines specific attributes to associate (buddies) included within each group").

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Wang in view of Aravamudan by implementing groups including a set of members from the plurality of data network system users. One would be motivated to do so because "IM clients also allow the user to specify a list of known identifiers for others of the IM system, often defined as a "buddy list"" (see applicant(s) disclosure paragraph [0003]). Therefore this would allow certain alerts to be delivered to groups rather than only individuals.

As per **claim 6**, Wang teaches a method of transmitting update/alert messages over a data network to a plurality of data network system users, the method comprising the steps of:

- a) receiving, at a website administrator (see page 1, [0002]: "centralized alert delivery system"), an update/alert message to be sent to a plurality of system users (see page 2, [0014]: "Each user in the system has an alert center that is always online for receiving and acknowledging IM-alerts and has at least one e-mail address as a fallback mechanism");
- b) using the message information, retrieving a targeted listing of IM users to whom the update/alert message should be sent (see Fig.3, #328 and page 4, [0058]: "The mapping module 328 is configured by the user 304 to direct alerts received from

various sources to an SMS address 330, an e-mail address 332 and/or an IM address 334");

- c) transmitting the update/alert message as an automated (see page 2, [0015]: "automated") IM to each user (see Fig.3);
- d) determining if any users are not involved in the IM session at the time the automated IM is sent (see page 2, [0014]: "has at least one e-mail address as a fallback mechanism" and page 5, [0059]: "if the user is not available for IM or the alert fails to be delivered via IM, then the alert is delivered by e-mail"); and, if so,
- e) retrieving the email address of each user not involved in the IM session (see page 2, [0014]: "has at least one e-mail address as a fallback mechanism" and page 5, [0059]: "if the user is not available for IM or the alert fails to be delivered via IM, then the alert is delivered by e-mail"); and
- f) transmitting an email version of the automated IM to each user not involved in the IM session (see page 2, [0014]: "has at least one e-mail address as a fallback mechanism" and page 5, [0059]: "if the user is not available for IM or the alert fails to be delivered via IM, then the alert is delivered by e-mail").

Wang does not explicitly teach of groups including a set of members from the plurality of data network system users.

Aravamudan teaches of groups including a set of members from the plurality of data network system users (see col.2, lines 33-35: "The user creates buddy groups and defines specific attributes to associate (buddies) included within each group").

10/767,785 Art Unit: 2155

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Wang in view of Aravamudan by implementing groups including a set of members from the plurality of data network system users. One would be motivated to do so because "IM clients also allow the user to specify a list of known identifiers for others of the IM system, often defined as a "buddy list"" (see applicant(s) disclosure paragraph [0003]). Therefore this would allow certain alerts to be delivered to groups rather than only individuals.

DEPENDENT:

As per **claim 3**, which depends on claim 1, Wang further teaches wherein the email version of the update/alert requests an acknowledge reply from each IM group member receiving the email version of the update/alert (see page 5, [0060]: "requires explicit acknowledgement from the user to confirm" and [0066]-[0067]).

As per **claim 5**, which depends on claim 1, Wang further teaches wherein the automated IM includes a request for acknowledge of receipt by each IM group member to confirm that each member of the IM group has received the update/alert (see page 5, [0060]: "requires explicit acknowledgement from the user to confirm" and [0066]-[0067]).

As per **claim 8**, which depends on claim 1, Wang further teaches wherein the transmitted email version includes a request for each user to transmit a confirmation reply message (see page 5, [0060]: "requires explicit acknowledgement from the user to confirm" and [0066]-[0067]).

10/767,785 Art Unit: 2155

As per claim 10, which depends on claim 6, Wang further teaches wherein the automated IM message transmitted in step c) includes a request to acknowledge receipt of the message by each IM group member by transmitting a confirmation reply message to the website administrator (see page 5, [0060]: "requires explicit acknowledgement from the user to confirm" and [0066]-[0067]).

As per **claim 11**, which depends on claim 10, Wang further teaches wherein the method further comprises the step of: g) associating each received acknowledgement with a proper IM group member to determine which IM groups have received the update/alert and which IM group members have not received the update/alert (see page 5, [0060]: "requires explicit acknowledgement from the user to confirm" and [0066]-[0067]).

5. Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. (US 2002/0198946) and Aravamudan et al. (US 6,301,609), and further in view of Dalal et al. (US 2002/0065894).

As per **claims 4 and 9**, which respectively depend on claims 1 and 6, Wang and Aravamudan do not explicitly teach wherein automated IM includes a trailer portion indicating that the IM is "automated" and "cannot be responded to".

Dalal teaches automated IM includes a trailer portion indicating that the IM is "automated" and "cannot be responded to" (see page 1, [0008]).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Wang and Aravamudan in view of Dalal so

10/767,785

Art Unit: 2155

that an automated IM includes a trailer portion indicating that the IM is "automated" and "cannot be responded to". One would be motivated to do so because the content of the message is subjective.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-6, and 8-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. After further review of the amended claim language, the teachings of Wang et al (US 2002/0198946) and Aravamudan et al (US 6,301,609) clearly and explicitly teach the limitations. Dalal et al. (US 2002/0065894) remains to teach the missing limitations of claims 4 and 9.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

10/767,785

Art Unit: 2155

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Y. Won whose telephone number is 571-272-3993. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 7AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Won/ Primary Examiner February 6, 2008